(4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT JABALPUR.

WRIT PETITION 967 /98

PETITIONERS : 1. State of Madhya Pradesh, through the Secretary, Forest Department, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal.

2. Divisional Forest Officer, Forest North Division, Raipur (MP).

-Vs-

RESPONDENTS : 1. Budhram Dhruv S/o Premlal Dhruv

2. Bisohram Sahu S/o Tejauram Sahu

3. Kashiram Gaikwad S/o Phaguaram

4. Ajay Kumar Mishra S/o Rameshwar Prasad.

5. Phekuram Verma son of Dukhuram Verma.

6. Yadukumar S/o Ramadhar Sahu.

7. Indrakumar Verma, S/o Raruharam Verma.

8. Krishna Kumar Sahu S/o Mayaram Sahu.

9. Govindram Yadav S/o Nakul Yadav

10. Narayandas Banjare S/o Subedar

11. Chandra Udaysingh Thakur S/o Shiv Prasad Thakur.

12. Ashok Kumar Kaushik S/o Ram Khilawan.

13. Arjunlal Vishwakarma S/o Gangaram

14. Raghunandan Sahu S/o Bhulauram Sah Sahu.

15. Toplal Sahu S/o Shobhit Sahu.

16. Bisahuram Yadav S/o Jatel Yadav.

17. Pherharam Daheriya S/o Bisahuram

..2

वन मण्डलाधिकारी,
उत्तर रायपुर वन मण्डल,

(5)

18. Vishram Sahu S/o Adhin Sahu.

19. Laxman Dhruv S/o Premlal Dhruv

20. Koduram Sahu S/o Thakurram Sahu.

21. Lekhram Thakur S/o Banshilal Thakur.

22. Sewaram Dhankar S/o Roopram Dhankar

23. Parmeshwar S/o Phag Phanindra Dhankar.

24. Mahesh Kumar Yadav S/o Jagatram Yadav.

25. Keshav Yadav S/o Bheekham Yadav.

26. Tularam S/o Phagauram

27. Bharatkumar Udke S/o Ganpat Udke

28. TuKeshwar Yadav S/o Uday Yadav.

29. Lavkumar Verma S/o Dayaram Verma.

30. Jagdish Sahu S/o Pyarlal Sahu,

    All through President, Van Vibhag Chowkidar Sangh through Chandra Uday Singh Thakur, Vishwakarma Pan Bhandar, Ring Road Chowk, Sanjay Nagar, Raipur(

31. The Presiding Officer,
    Labour Court,
    Durg (MP).

PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

(44)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: **Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

W. P. No. 967 of 1998

Petitioners : State of Madhya Pradesh (Now Chhattisgarh) and another

Versus

Respondents : Budhram Dhruv and others

Shri A. S. Kachwaha, Govt. Advocate for the petitioners/State.

Shri Rajesh Pandey, Advocate for the respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on this 11th day of December, 2006)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners/State fairly submits that the impugned award dated 27.9.1997 passed by the Labour Court in Case No. 27/93/I.D.Act (Reference) has been complied with and as such nothing remains in the matter. Thus, the petition, with the efflux of time, has become infructuous.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents No.1 to 30 does not dispute the submission.
3. The petition is accordingly dismissed as having become infructuous.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur